

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/814,194	GRIVNA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Ted M. Wang	2634

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Ted M. Wang.

(3) _____.

(2) Robert Miller.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 06 September 2005.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: claim 15, and 17-20.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____.


Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant is asking the 112 first paragraph issue and the allowable matter described in the office action # 20050708, filed on 7/12/2005. Suggestion was made to include the contain ofteh claim 15 in the specification in order to overcome the 35 USC 112 first paragraph rejection.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


9/6/05
Examiner's signature, if required